

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/605,028	KUCHAR, DAVID M
	<b>Examiner</b> William P. Watkins III	<b>Art Unit</b> 1772

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Allowed

(1) William P. Watkins III. (3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Stanely Kremen. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 March 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*Lyon in view of Trueblood*

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

*Lyon, Bruno '751*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See *Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: applicant agreed to amend the claims by examiner's amendment to recite more of the specific slit structure shown in the instant Figures that enables the formation of the cross members in order to fully define over Lyon. Differences between Bruno '751 and the instant claimed invention were discussed..